

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.) 3

W.P. No. 6186 2005

Petitioner Sharad Kumar Mahobiya aged 45 years
Son of Shri Tula Ram Mahobiya, Kushal
Sahayak, Bhopal Rao Pawar Govt. Polytechnic
College Ruddri Distt. Dhamtari.

Versus

Respondent 1 The State Government of Chhattishgarh
Through the Secretary, Ministry of General
Administration Department,
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director of Technical Education C.G. Directorate
Of Technical Education Girls Polytechnic parisar
Bairan-bazar Raipur

3. Principal Polytechnic College Dhamtari (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
9.19.05
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR

Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India
For the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus certiorari,
prohibition and for other suitable writ or writs, order or orders
direction or directions

20

आदेश पत्रक

WP 6186/05

मामला क्रमांक

सन 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>12-12-2005</u> Shri R.S. Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Adv. for State, on advance copy. Heard. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was an employee of M.P. State Road Transport Corporation and after abolition of the Corporation he was absorbed in Polytechnic College vide order dated 7/10/2005 (Annexure-P/2). Thereafter, vide Annexure-P/1 dated 29/11/2005 the absorption order was withdrawn. The petitioner has not submitted any representation. Counsel for the State submits that for the present the petitioner may file the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents which will be considered and decided in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order within two weeks from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No.4910/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

12/12/05
30/10/06